

## Any views and opinions expressed in this article may or may not refect the

Safety addingindicators are measurements in areas that underlie many of the root causes for injuries and incidents The list of leading indicators and less There is no incorrect leading indicators for the March 23,2005, explosion at the BIPexas Cityrefinery, which resulted in 15 deaths and 180 injuries, the U.S Chemical Safety Board asked the Center for Chemical Process Safety (CCPS) for a list of agreed upon safety leading indicators the CCPS providen excellent list fleading indicators The many companies involved, owever, could not reach consensus on oneflicts several reasons none which are related to this Executive Insight

If one  $\hat{A} \in \{0, 0\}$  I] v P (} CE š Z safetyle?adjingcijdišators; (some ide **a**an be gleane from the list provided by the CCPSTo be truly effective however, leadership in organizations needs to work with their safety teams and develop their own list that is tailored to that organization and safety culture

## Conclusion

One challenge in using safety leading icators is to have the same discipline and management attention paid to the minutiae of what the leading indicators represent and have that level of attention be equivalent to that given to financials If this were the standard, ti would be much easier prevent a serious injury or fatality rater than trying to improve the lagging indicators by telling everyore to work safely after the fact. It is also much cheaper.

## About the Author

Deborah Grubbevas elected to he National Academy of Construction 2013. She is owner and president of Operations an Bafety Solutions, LLC, a global consultancy that works with various industries. She is a former member of the NASA Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel and worked on the U.S. Chemical Weapons Stockpile Demilitarization. She also serves on numerous advider and the server serves on numerous advider and the server server